



# MFAT Management Response to Independent Mid-Term Review of the Renewable Energy: Accelerating Transition in Indonesia Activity October 2024

Evaluation Report Recommendation (Activity design considerations)	MFAT Response and Action (Agree, Partially Agree, Reject)
<p><b>5.0.1 Revise the Programme Logic: GGGI and MFAT</b> recommended to review RE-ACT's programme logic intended to bring Monitoring and Reporting of results in line with realistic outcomes and the Activity's actual workplan.</p> <p>and</p> <p><b>5.0.4 Workstream 2: Stakeholder engagement &amp; capacity building: GGGI and MFAT</b> are to consider the integration, or reconfiguration of workstream 2 in order to more accurately align with RE-ACT's model of information product production, socialization, and use.</p>	<p><b>Agree. (In progress)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will initiate a collaborative revision of the programme logic with the RE-ACT implementation team; including commissioning MERL workshopping and advice from DCI specialists, GGGI to lead in the drafting of changes, and approval of final changes to the Programme Logic or MERL framework.</li> </ul> <p><b>Partially Agree. (In progress)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will work closely with GGGI going forward to monitor WS2, which will be retained in the near term but may be open to reform if there is a strong need.</li> <li>GGGI to consider this recommendation in programme logic review (Recommendation 5.0.1).</li> </ul>
<p><b>5.0.2 Workstream 1: Policy framework &amp; regulatory support at the national level: GGGI</b> to build an analytical step into the process of activity selection in which specific barriers/accelerants to renewable energy are identified and documented, in order to maximise RE-ACT's potential impact.</p>	<p><b>Agree. (Complete)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will request GGGI produce an analysis paper that establishes a strategy for activity selection to be used as an analytical step in planning for the remaining period of RE-ACT.</li> </ul>
<p><b>5.0.3 Workstream 1: Policy framework &amp; regulatory support at the regional level: GGGI</b> to continue strengthening Rural Energy Planning (RUED) implementation by continuing to engage at the provincial level, make use of tools and instruments developed under workstream 3, and explore how to promote the permanent integration of RUED processes in provinces. <b>GGGI and MFAT</b> to consider organising a cross-donor/programme event to share lessons learned and best practice on RUED support.</p>	<p><b>Partially Agree. (Complete)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will refer WS1 recommendations for GGGI's consideration, responsible for implementation subject to programme priorities and feasibility.</li> <li>Cross-donor coordination is a space where other donors are actively convening already, including GGGI involvement, but GGGI can consider discussing RUED where there is an opportunity to do so.</li> </ul>
<p><b>5.0.5 Workstream 3: De-risking and financial instruments, improvements: GGGI</b> to prioritize completion of de-risking study to inform future activities (incl. stakeholder mapping), and broaden stakeholder engagement (inc. Financial Service Authority, the Fiscal Policy Agency (BKF), the Central Bank, the Ministry of Finance, and PT SMI). <b>MFAT</b> to consider PLN and/or PT SMI participation in Technical Project Committee (TPC) or an alternative advisory group.</p>	<p><b>Partially Agree. (Complete)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will refer WS3 recommendations for GGGI's consideration, responsible for implementation subject to programme priorities and feasibility.</li> <li>GGGI can consider involvement of additional stakeholders in the TPC, however an additional alternative advisory group is likely to be outside of existing capacity.</li> </ul>

Evaluation Report Recommendation (Activity design considerations)	MFAT Response and Action (Agree, Partially Agree, Reject)
<p><b>5.0.6 Sharpening RE-ACT's strategic focus</b> and <b>5.1.3 Activity governance:</b> MFAT to provide criteria (or Menu of Services) to guide engagement and activity selection of RE-ACT with the Government of Indonesia and reduce the management burden of pre-TPC and monthly meetings. <b>GGGI</b> to ensure RE-ACT's primary stakeholders are aware of and understand selection criteria to guide future activity prioritisation and selection.</p>	<p><b>Agree. (Complete)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will provide a document re-affirming and re-clarifying the scope of RE-ACT, particularly to clearly define boundaries which we have had to navigate with GGGI in the past. This will be provided before GGGI produces the Analysis paper.</li> <li>Request GGGI to better reflect the strategic focus in planning documents and communications with GoI partners.</li> </ul>
Evaluation Report Recommendations (Key partnerships and management considerations)	MFAT Response and Action (Agree, Partially Agree, Reject)
<p><b>5.1.1 Broadening partnerships:</b> GGGI and MFAT should seek to improve engagement with key agencies and stakeholders in Indonesia for the duration of RE-ACT.</p> <p><b>5.1.2 Leveraging New Zealand expertise:</b> GGGI and MFAT to explore ways in which New Zealand can leverage its areas of speciality and competitive advantage. For example, areas related to energy and carbon markets and resource management.</p> <p><b>5.1.4 Activity management:</b> GGGI to ensure each workstream has a dedicated lead and develop an analytical step for strategic activity selection (5.0.2)</p>	<p><b>Agree. (Complete)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer recommendations to GGGI and monitor improvements via monthly meetings.</li> </ul>
<p><b>5.1.5 Funding arrangements:</b> MFAT should consider strengthening the assessment of management functions, including financial management, work planning, reporting, monitoring and evaluation, and procurement, for potential GFA partners.</p>	<p><b>Partially Agree. (In progress)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MFAT will report this finding internally via the multi-activity energy evaluation completion report.</li> <li>MFAT MERL specialists are aware of issues with GFA-based activities and changes have already been and continue to be made.</li> </ul>

Evaluation Report Recommendations (Key operational considerations)	MFAT Response and Action (Agree, Partially Agree, Reject)
<b>5.2.1 Key operational considerations:</b> GGGI consider bundling procurement, and GGGI and MFAT consider establishing a panel of pre-approved experts to reduce procurement timelines.	<b>Partially Agree. (Complete)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Refer procurement streamlining recommendations to GGGI.</li><li>• MFAT believes the panel of pre-approved experts would be too ambitious and impractical at the activity-level, but can refer this recommendation for GGGI to consider at the country-level.</li></ul>
<b>5.2.2 Improving performance measurement and monitoring:</b> GGGI to establish a dedicated MERL position in RE-ACT for the remainder of the Activity.	<b>Agree. (Complete)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Refer recommendations to GGGI and follow up on progress.</li></ul>

